# WIRRAL COUNCIL

# **CABINET**

### **2 FEBRUARY 2012**

SUBJECT:	OFFICE RATIONALISATION
WARD/S AFFECTED:	ALL
REPORT OF:	THE DIRECTOR OF LAW, HR & ASSET
	MANAGEMENT
RESPONSIBLE PORTFOLIO	COUNCILLLOR ADRIAN JONES
HOLDER:	(CORPORATE RESOURCES)
KEY DECISION	YES

#### 1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

- 1.1 This report seeks decisions to guide further rationalisation of the Council's administrative accommodation. It reviews progress made to date and seeks confirmation of the strategy that has been developed over the past three years as a basis for further decisions on the retention or vacation and disposal of individual buildings.
- 1.2 The report draws upon lessons learned from work to date and considers the likely context within which further rationalisation will be required. It reviews the current stock of `core' administrative accommodation, makes recommendations to vacate specific buildings and seeks a decision on options for the further vacation of core administrative buildings.

#### 2.0 RECOMMENDATIONS

- 2.1 It is recommended that:
- 2.2 Progress to date in vacating and disposing of administrative accommodation be noted.
- 2.3 The principles guiding rationalisation and workplace design set out in this report be confirmed as the basis for planning and implementing the vacation of buildings and the intensified use of remaining administrative accommodation.
- 2.4 Those buildings listed in Appendix B be retained for use as administrative accommodation and the Director of Law, HR & Asset Management be instructed to report to a future meeting of Cabinet with an action plan for their improvement
- 2.5 Liscard Municipal Building, Bebington Town Hall and Bebington Town Hall Annexe be vacated, declared surplus to the future needs of the Council, demolished and the sites cleared pending future proposals for their re-use
- 2.6 The former Birkenhead Town Hall be re-used in part to provide office accommodation for the Council, within an overall facility that provides meeting and events space and supports community and cultural use.
- 2.7 An initial series of building works and staff moves be undertaken as proposed in section 4.6.10 of this report

2.8 That Cabinet identifies its preferred option for the vacation of a further core building or buildings and instructs the Director of Law, HR and Asset Management to take the necessary action to implement the preferred option.

# 3.0 REASONS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

- 3.1 To establish an agreed basis for the further rationalisation of the Council's administrative buildings and provide a consistent corporate framework for future office design to maximise building efficiency.
- 3.2 To set out an agreed programme of building reduction and a confirmed list of retained buildings so that planned investment can be made in their improvement.

#### 4.0 BACKGROUND AND KEY ISSUES

## 4.1 Background and achievements to date

- 4.1.1 On 9 July 2008 Cabinet considered a report on its built estate that identified the need for a thorough and comprehensive review of all administrative buildings. It was noted at that time that consideration should be given as to whether a business case existed to replace existing office accommodation with a new purpose built facility.
- 4.1.2 Between 2008 and 2011 five buildings have been sold. These are Beechcroft, Bridge Court, 4 Cavendish Road, 19 Heath Road and Westminster House. A further six are currently being vacated and prepared for sale or potential re-use. These are Esher House; 4 Rocky Lane, Heswall; Liscard Municipal Building; Oakenholt, Moreton; The Old Courthouse and Willowtree. Approximately 674 staff will have been relocated in the process of vacating these buildings.
- 4.1.3 £1.68m has been raised in capital receipts with consequent savings in annual running costs and future costs of repairs that the Council would otherwise have had to incur for these buildings.
- 4.1.4 The major sale was that of Westminster House. This required the relocation of some 347 staff; produced a capital receipt for the Council of £1.025m and will result in gross annual revenue savings of £350,000. In addition the Council will avoid the need to address a backlog of repairs to the building and future life cycle repairs that were estimated to cost £95,500 and £655,500 respectively.
- 4.1.5 This period has also seen co-location of Council staff with healthcare staff in health service premises where appropriate, and further development of arrangements for locality working. In this respect a new base for locality staff has been completed in a retained facility at Pensby Park, which is allowing the vacation and disposal of other buildings. Where appropriate, opportunities have been taken to increase agile working for those staff being relocated.

# 4.2 Development of a strategy

- 4.2.1 A strategy for office rationalisation has been developed over the period of review, strongly influenced by changing circumstances.
- 4.2.2 To consider the case for new, purpose-built accommodation, specialist external consultants were commissioned to develop a business case for the Council's future office needs. It was reported to Cabinet in June 2010 that the business case recommended a 'mixed' solution for future provision as the preferred option. This was based on the retention of a small number of existing 'core' buildings with investment in their fabric for the long term, supplemented by new-build accommodation. The business case emphasised the need to deal with the backlog of repair and maintenance across the estate and to adequately fund future maintenance.
- 4.2.3 Cabinet endorsed the recommended approach in principle but recognised that further work was required to refine alternatives.
- 4.2.4 Subsequently, the financial environment for the Council has worsened significantly. With a change of national government in 2010 a review of public spending resulted in funding reductions that affected the Council. The budget projections for 2012/13 presently indicate a shortfall of £25m and, over the period 2012/15 the gap is over £85m. This reduction in public sector funding has combined with the recession and lack of economic activity in the local property market to limit the Council's ability to radically change its administrative accommodation base.
- 4.2.5 The Council has also been going through a period of organisational change and contraction. Together with potential service changes this means that the Council's future accommodation requirements remain unclear and further change is anticipated.
- 4.2.6 Since the consideration of the business case and as a result of the pressures for change affecting the Council and its services a strategy has been developed for rationalisation based on the following principles:
  - The Council will not pursue options for new-build accommodation in the short to medium term (up to five years) given financial and other constraints facing the Authority. The option will be further reviewed at the end of that period. The only exception to this will be where an opportunity is presented by external market interest that can be shown to deliver service improvement and reduced costs to the Council.
  - The Council will rationalise its corporate administrative accommodation by contracting its use of office space within an identified core group of buildings
  - In exceptional circumstances office space may be taken within accommodation occupied by a partner organisation where this facilitates efficient working and releases accommodation elsewhere
  - The Council will invest in the repair and improvement of retained buildings from within currently available budgets (repair and maintenance and agreed capital programme)
  - The Council will take account of opportunities presented by the external market or by its strategic partners in prioritising buildings for disposal

- The priority for the rationalisation programme is the achievement of annual revenue savings from the cost of occupying office space, rather than the achievement of capital receipts
- The Council's corporate headquarters will be Wallasey Town Hall
- The Council will consolidate its office accommodation in Wallasey and in Birkenhead town centre, seeking to maximise operational efficiencies and cost savings by co-locating staff, operating from the minimum number of buildings and vacating outlying buildings
- The Council will seek to drive forward agile working wherever practical within available resources to increase the efficient use of buildings, reduce the need for office space and modernise working arrangements
- Where appropriate staff will be based in localities rather than in central offices. In such cases the presumption will be that agile working is maximised.
- 4.2.7 Relocation of staff and the intensification of building use will be based on a corporate approach to workplace planning which has been agreed by Chief Officers. This will be underpinned by the following principles and standards, which will be applied consistently across all departments:
  - In any alteration of existing workspaces and in new provision, open plan offices will be provided. There will be no individual offices below the level of Departmental Management Team members
  - In designing new areas adequate provision will be made for shared meeting rooms, breakout space, confidential space for meetings, 'phone calls etc.
  - Use of floor areas will be designed for maximum efficiency, with an overall target level for space occupancy of 10 sqm per person in converted or old buildings and 7.5 sq.m. per person in modern purpose built offices
  - Where agile working can be introduced the minimum target ratio of people to desks will be 8 desks to every 10 persons.
  - A corporate standard will be adopted for workstation provision
  - Existing furniture will be re-used where appropriate with new furniture provided where this is necessary to maximise the efficient use of space.

These principles have been discussed at and endorsed by Executive Team. Chief Officers are of the view that the optimum solution for the Council's future administrative accommodation would be delivered through new-build and the vacation of existing space. Pursuing new-build would also give the Council the opportunity as an occupier to underpin new development and 'kick-start' regeneration activity. It is recognised however, that a new-build approach would be difficult at the present time, given the Council's circumstances. Cabinet's views on this issue are sought.

4.2.8 Subject to any amendment arising from the approach to new-build accommodation, it is recommended that the principles set out above be confirmed as the basis for planning and implementing further office rationalisation

#### 4.3 The Context for further rationalisation

- 4.3.1 Further change is anticipated over the coming years in the delivery of Council services. The Council's Strategic Change Programme will improve efficiency in a number of areas but will take a considerable time to reduce requirements for office space. Given pressure to see an early reduction in building stock potential project outcomes will therefore not be taken into account in current planning for space rationalisation.
- 4.3.2 Significant reductions in space usage should be obtained through a corporate approach to workplace change, of which building and accommodation change will be a component. Such an approach would be expected to be driven from the Council's Strategic Change Programme and would deal on a corporate basis with the development of agile working. In the absence of such a programme agility will be addressed and prioritised within existing limited resources where staff are moved within a building-led rationalisation programme.
- 4.3.3 The Council's ICT Strategy provides for the development of systems and corporate infrastructure to support office rationalisation, with identified funding for both infrastructure and desktop equipment. ICT staff are working closely with those from Asset Management to deliver agreed changes. This was shown to greatest effect in the successful relocation of staff from Westminster House. To avoid the pace of change being constrained by current staff resources within IT Services prioritisation of the ICT work-plan is required. This will be addressed as plans develop and any implications reported to members.
- 4.3.4 No significant opportunities to share core administrative buildings with partners have been identified, although discussions around locality working are continuing. It is intended to pursue discussions with partners to seek opportunities for shared property use in the future but, in the meantime, to proceed with the rationalisation of the Council's core accommodation independent of others.
- 4.3.5 The local commercial property market is currently very weak, with constraints on development funding and an absence of speculative development. Given the national economic situation these circumstances are unlikely to change in the short to medium term. Even in the current conditions however there are some end-users seeking sites that fit their criteria. When acting as purchasers or tenants they will enable a developer to secure funding, and such end users may provide opportunities for the disposal of certain Council assets (as was the case with Westminster House). Any such interest will be pursued and will be taken into account in recommendations for the retention or disposal of buildings.

#### 4.4 Lessons learned

- 4.4.1 Implementation of the first stage of rationalisation has highlighted issues from which lessons can be learned to inform the next steps in the programme. These include the following:
  - Ambitions for the next phase of rationalisation need to be realistic having regard to available resources. The vacation of Westminster

House demonstrated that such an exercise can be carried out relatively quickly, given appropriate prioritisation and the commitment of resources. However the next stages of rationalisation will be addressed by a reduced workforce that will face increased pressures to support service change and modernisation. Capacity within the wider project team — including IT Services and support for business change — is limited. If greater speed is required substantially increased resources will be necessary.

- To maximise the use of a reduced number of buildings further financial provision will need to be made to address building condition on a phased basis. There is a substantial repair and maintenance backlog across all administrative accommodation with the exception of the rented space at Old Market House.
- Staff should be moved out of accommodation before significant alteration and upgrading work takes place. Intensified use of office space will require accommodation works to be undertaken. These may include significant internal remodelling (removal of walls etc) and upgrading of ICT and power infrastructure. Experience has shown that doing such work whilst accommodation is occupied is difficult for both staff and contractors. It also increases the complexities of managing the work, and the associated risks (particularly where asbestos and other hazards are present).
- Some double moves of staff will be required within a large scale rationalisation programme, although every effort will be made to move staff once into their new location.
- Agile working will be driven by changes in working practices. Whilst desirable to support office rationalisation it requires substantial resources to implement and commitment from management and staff to achieve. The current approach to delivering agile working is driven by closure of buildings or by individually identified needs that are agreed on a case-by-case basis. As noted above, in the absence of an overall corporate approach to the transformation of work styles the office rationalisation project will continue to focus available resources to deliver agility where appropriate to services in buildings that have been identified for closure.
- New furniture will be required in many cases to make the most efficient use of space, although existing furniture will be reused where possible.

# 4.5 The current position

- 4.5.1 The core group of administrative buildings now in scope for the rationalisation project is listed in Appendix A. This list identifies the proposed treatment of buildings (retain/vacate/review) which is expanded upon below.
- 4.5.2 Appendix A also indicates the current position in terms of the occupancy of those buildings, taking account of the recent voluntary retirement and severance exercise and recent staff moves. The figures show average overall space occupancy of 15.6 sqm. per person. Whilst office space is now less densely occupied, that under-occupation is spread in `pockets' across all buildings. To achieve a further reduction in building numbers in the short to medium term it will be necessary to relocate large groups of staff sometimes with a requirement for double moves to vacate a whole building or buildings.

# 4.6 The Way Forward

- 4.6.1 Certain buildings are identified at this stage for retention by the Council. Further information on these is given at Appendix B. Appendix B also identifies key issues to be addressed in those buildings, and notes their maintenance backlog and energy performance.
- 4.6.2 It is recommended that the retention of the buildings listed in Appendix B be confirmed and the Director of Law, HR & Asset Management be requested to develop an action plan for their improvement and to report to a future meeting of Cabinet with proposals. That action plan should be underpinned by the principles that use of the building will be intensified wherever possible, backlog maintenance will be addressed, energy efficiency improved and CO2 emissions reduced. In view of the extent of the identified maintenance backlog it is further recommended that a bid be submitted in due course for inclusion in the future capital programme to support improvement and more intensive use.
- 4.6.3 For the remaining buildings already identified for disposal in Phase 1 of the rationalisation project (The Old Courthouse and Willowtree) work is proceeding to relocate staff.
- 4.6.4 In respect of the Professional Excellence Centre (PEC) at Acre Lane, the business case considered by Cabinet in June 2010 recommended that further consideration be given to the future of this facility in a later stage of the accommodation review programme and through a separate and specific review. The Acre Lane facility is costly to run and has a substantial repair backlog.
- 4.6.5 With the vacation of the former Rock Ferry High School buildings the opportunity has arisen to consider whether this site would be appropriate for the relocation of the PEC. External consultants have been engaged to advise on this. They have also been asked to consider whether the PEC could be relocated to use the Council's Bebington Complex of buildings or the Conway Centre. This work is in progress, but early feedback is that relocation to the separate Council buildings at Bebington is not a viable option as there is insufficient accommodation that could be suitably configured to meet service needs.
- 4.6.6 Further information is given at Appendix C in respect of Liscard Municipal Building, Bebington Town Hall and Bebington Town Hall Annexe. Whilst these buildings have been provisionally identified for vacation in Phase 2 of the rationalisation project confirmation is now sought that they should be vacated, declared surplus to the Council's requirements and demolished pending an agreed future use for the sites.
- 4.6.7 Decisions in respect of Appendices B and C leave the following properties for further consideration:
  - Pennant House together with the associated buildings Mayer Hall and 65 The Village referred to as the Pennant House Complex
  - The former Birkenhead Town Hall
  - Hamilton Building
  - Finance Municipal Building
  - North and South Annexes, Wallasey

- 4.6.8 A special meeting of the Bebington and Clatterbridge Area Forum took place on 6 December 2011 to discuss these buildings. Options for their future will be further discussed at the next Area Forum Meeting on 7 February 2012 and a separate report on these buildings will be brought to a future Cabinet meeting.
- 4.6.9 Birkenhead Town Hall, is dealt with in Appendix D. There remains a need to find a sustainable future use for the former town hall, not only to bring a significant historic asset back into use but also to support the regeneration of Hamilton Square. On balance it is therefore recommended that the former town hall be re-used in part to provide office accommodation for the Council, within an overall facility that provides meeting and events space and supports community and cultural use.
- 4.6.10 By way of further action, It is recommended that in addition to the building closures identified earlier in this report an initial series of building works and moves be undertaken that will result in the vacation of the South Annexe. This will then provide the starting point for the implementation of a preferred option for the closure of a further building or buildings.

The initial series of building works and staff moves to be undertaken will be as follows:

Action	Comments
Refurbish and upgrade first floor	Rationale and costs set out in Appendix
accommodation in Birkenhead Town	D.
Hall.	
Move Regulatory Services from Wallasey	If Birkenhead Town Hall is used parking
Town Hall	will need to be made available to allow
	for the mobile nature of the service.
Refurbish second and third floor	Partitions to be removed to allow more
accommodation in Wallasey Town Hall	intensive use of space, together with
	upgrading of power and ICT cabling
Relocate HR staff from South Annexe to	New accommodation will be used as far
refurbished accommodation in Wallasey	as possible on an agile basis. South
Town Hall	Annexe will then be vacant, from which
	point a preferred option for building
	vacation can be implemented.

- 4.6.11 Consideration then needs to be given to the remaining buildings Hamilton Building, Finance Municipal Building and the North and South Annexes. The closure of one or more of these core buildings would significantly reduce the amount of accommodation occupied, running costs incurred and maintenance costs to be addressed. Options together with estimated costs are set out in Appendix E.
- 4.6.12 In the cases of options 1, 2, 3, and 5(a) it is estimated that the cost of borrowing to fund the necessary capital works would cancel out any revenue savings to be made.
- 4.6.13 Option 4 would deliver the greatest reduction in floor space, but considerable further work would be required to confirm and bring forward any market interest in Hamilton Building. The future of this building will also need to be

considered in the context of wider development and regeneration opportunities in Birkenhead Town Centre. In addition there are uncertainties as to the future service and staffing requirements affecting Hamilton and Finance Municipal buildings. For these reasons it is suggested that the issues and opportunities affecting these two buildings should be further explored, but that potential closure of one or both buildings is seen as a medium to long term option.

- 4.6.14 Option 5 (b) shows the biggest estimated net revenue savings, with no requirement to fund the capital refurbishment of the North and South Annexes. Accommodation will need to be identified and prepared for the relocation of the Regeneration, Housing & Planning department.
- 4.6.15 The final decision on the location of that department may depend on service and staffing changes that take place in other buildings. Timing of the move may also depend on any preparatory works required. In the meantime, and on the basis that the South Annexe would ultimately be demolished, it would be possible to temporarily locate Regeneration, Housing & Planning in a vacated South Annexe with minimal preparatory works and on the basis that the asbestos within the building continued to be managed in line with existing arrangements.
- 4.6.16 If both Annexes were demolished in a single contract the Council would expect to secure a more favourable price from contractors than if it dealt with them separately. The amount of any such saving would be quantified through a tender process. Continued temporary use of one of the Annexes would forego such a saving. There would also be some additional costs in a double move.

4.6.17 Pursuing option 5(b) would produce a programme of short, medium and long term actions. An indicative programme is summarised in the following table:

Timescale	Actions		
Short (0-2 yrs.)	<ul> <li>Complete improvements to access and means of escape in Wallasey Town Hall</li> <li>Vacate and demolish Liscard Municipal, Bebington Town Hall and Bebington Town Hall Annexe</li> <li>Complete sequence of moves to vacate and demolish North Annexe</li> <li>Agree a preferred option for</li> </ul>		
	the future of the Pennant House complex		
Medium (3-5 yrs.)	<ul> <li>Vacate and demolish South Annexe</li> <li>Agree proposals for greater use of Wallasey Town Hall</li> <li>Clarify future requirements for Birkenhead buildings and identify further building(s) for vacation</li> </ul>		

Long (5yrs+)	Review new build option	
	<ul> <li>Vacate and dispose of further</li> </ul>	
	building(s) in Birkenhead	
	<ul> <li>Develop and implement exit</li> </ul>	
	strategy for Cheshire Lines	

4.6.18 The instructions of Cabinet are required as to the preferred option for further building rationalisation.

#### 5.0 RELEVANT RISKS

- 5.1 Financial implications are in some cases based on estimated costs which are subject to variation. Figures will be confirmed through competitive quotations and tenders as appropriate and every effort will be made to minimise costs. Costs and savings will be monitored within the office rationalisation project and through the Strategic Change Programme Board.
- 5.2 Project timescales are subject to variation. The overall rationalisation project will rely on a series of interlinked staff moves, and unexpected problems may arise during buildings works. Delay in one area may affect the timing of other moves, building works etc. This risk will be addressed by the use of appropriate project management and monitoring arrangements.
- 5.3 Services affected by building closures and office moves may be reluctant to relocate. This will be addressed by effective communication of the reasons for change and resulting benefits; leadership within departments and within the rationalisation project and engagement with affected staff. New accommodation that is provided will also be suitable and fit for purpose.
- 5.4 Services may be disrupted by the impacts of the rationalisation project. Whilst some double moves of staff may be required every effort will be made to keep these to a minimum. Consultation and engagement with affected staff will try to ensure that service needs and concerns are taken into account where appropriate when planning moves or new accommodation. In addition, major building alterations and repairs will not be undertaken with staff in occupation.
- 5.5 Staff resources currently assigned to this project are limited and, as a result, the pace of change is restricted. It is proposed to review the existing level of resources and if necessary bring forward proposals for additional support.

## 6.0 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED

- 6.1 The option of retaining all of the current stock of administrative buildings has been dismissed. Analysis of their current use shows that the Council is occupying more office space than it needs, and the repair and maintenance backlog is unsustainable.
- 6.2 The option of retaining office use in the existing Bebington buildings has been dismissed as it is inconsistent with the strategy of consolidating office use in Wallasey and Birkenhead supplemented by the outlying core locations for CYPD at Acre Lane and Solar Campus.

- 6.3 The option of not re-using Birkenhead Town Hall has been considered but on balance has been dismissed. This is because there are considered to be no realistic prospects of commercial re-use in the current market and no sustainable and funded alternative has been brought forward. The alternative to re-use by the Council would be either to 'mothball' the building in whole or in part with the risks that would entail for the building fabric or to invest in its maintenance and repair (given its historic and architectural significance) without deriving any direct benefit from the money spent.
- 6.4 An alternative option for the town hall would be to re-offer the building to the market with a commitment from the Council to meet the costs of outstanding repairs and provide revenue funding to meet running costs. Whilst this may improve the prospects of securing an alternative use the Council would be required to make a substantial and continuing investment in the building but would expect to lose control of it and of the benefits (e.g. income) from its future use.

#### 7.0 CONSULTATION

- 7.1 Detailed consultation will be undertaken with staff whose accommodation is affected by the proposals, and with those services and staff who are required to relocate. The intention will be to minimise disruption to services during change.
- 7.2 A special meeting of the Bebington & Clatterbridge Area Forum on 6 December 2011 considered the proposals for the Pennant House complex, and the matter will be discussed at the next meeting of the Forum on 7 February 2012.
- 7.3 The views of Directors and Service Heads have been taken into account in these proposals. There will be further consultation with Departments in developing the preferred option for building closure(s).

### 8.0 IMPLICATIONS FOR VOLUNTARY, COMMUNITY AND FAITH GROUPS

- 8.1 Mayer Hall is used by the voluntary, community and faith sector. Users will be consulted before a final decision is taken on the future of the buildings.
- 8.2 Re-use of Birkenhead Town Hall will provide improved opportunities for use by voluntary and community groups.

# 9.0 RESOURCE IMPLICATIONS: FINANCIAL; IT; STAFFING; AND ASSETS

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

9.1 The following provisions have been made in the Capital Programme and are available to support the rationalisation programme in this report:

•	ICT Infrastructure	£6.0m
•	Wallasey Town Hall – access and fire precautions	£1.6m
•	North & South Annexes – refurbishment	£2.3m
•	Relocation of Bebington One Stop Shop	£1.3m
•	Rock Ferry One Stop Shop – integration with library	£0.6m

- 9.2 Scheme and estimate reports will be brought forward separately in each case for building related projects where funding has been allocated.
- 9.3 Savings from the closure and vacation of The Old Courthouse and administrative accommodation within the Willowtree facility have already been taken into account in the Strategic Change Programme.
- 9.4 Closure, vacation and demolition where appropriate of buildings as recommended in this report will deliver estimated net annual revenue savings as follows:

Building	One-off costs of vacation, closure and demolition (where appropriate)	annual revenue	Estimated future repair & maintenance costs avoided
Liscard Municipal Building	£147,000 *	£70,700 **	£496,320
Bebington Town Hall and Annexe	£231,500 *	£110,200 **	£574,000

<sup>\*</sup> Plus any necessary works to new locations.

- 9.5 A policy needs to be agreed to recharge schools for the increased cost of ICT provision where hubs are relocated.
- 9.6 One off costs to deliver building closures and staff relocations will be met in each case from existing budgets, with the costs of ICT infrastructure reprovision being met from the capital provision identified above.
- 9.7 One-off costs to bring vacant space in Birkenhead Town Hall into office use are estimated at £350,000. These will be met from existing asset management budgets and ICT capital provision as above.
- 9.8 Costs and savings arising from further building closures depend on the preferred option and are detailed in Appendix F.
- 9.9 Potential capital receipts have not been considered at this stage. For Liscard Municipal Building, Bebington Town Hall and Bebington Town Hall Annexe demolition of the vacated buildings and retention of the cleared sites is recommended. The same would be the case for the North and South Annexes. Further work would be required to confirm the best approach to disposal if Hamilton and / or Finance Municipal Buildings were to be vacated.

## IT IMPLICATIONS

9.11 Identified in the report and appendices.

#### STAFFING IMPLICATIONS

9.12 Given agreement of the proposed way forward a review of staffing resources necessary to deliver the proposed programme will be undertaken to identify any additional resources required. If additional resources are considered necessary approval of their provision will be requested through the Strategic Change Programme Board.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Premises budget 2012/13 less increased annual ICT costs

- 9.13 Consultation will be undertaken with staff who are relocated as a result of the rationalisation programme.
- 9.14 The proposed programme allows for building and refurbishment works to be undertaken when accommodation is vacant, minimising the impact on and risks to staff.

### **ASSET IMPLICATIONS**

9.15 Dealt with in the body of the report.

## 10.0 LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

10.1 None arising directly from this report.

## 11.0 EQUALITIES IMPLICATIONS

- 11.1 An Equality Impact Assessment is required for the office rationalisation programme. Whilst an initial overall assessment was completed this will need to be reviewed and updated when the rationalisation programme is confirmed.
- 11.2 Individual assessments will be carried out for each phase of rationalisation.

#### 12.0 CARBON REDUCTION IMPLICATIONS

- 12.1 The vacation and demolition or disposal of administrative buildings will help deliver the Council's Carbon Budget by reducing its CO2 emissions.
- 12.2 Consolidating accommodation in Wallasey and Birkenhead will reduce business travel and CO2 emissions from officers travelling between different locations. Further reductions in emissions from business travel may be delivered from increased agile working.
- 12.3 The North and South Annexes have been identified as locations for Solar PV installations within the scheme approved by Cabinet on 1 September 2011. If the Annexes are demolished alternative sites will be identified from a reserve list of buildings.

#### 13.0 PLANNING AND COMMUNITY SAFETY IMPLICATIONS

- 13.1 In the Council's adopted Unitary Development Plan (UDP), Liscard Municipal Building is within a Primarily Commercial Area adjacent to Liscard Town Centre, where redevelopment for town centre uses falling within Class A1 (Shops), Class A2 (Financial and Professional Services), Class A3 (Food and Drink, now sub-divided into A3 (Restaurants and Cafes), A4 (Drinking Establishments) and A5 (Hot Food Takeaways)), Class B1 (Offices) and Class D1 (Non-residential institutions) is appropriate subject to criteria. However, the Central Liscard Area Residents' Association intends to prepare a Neighbourhood Development Plan for Central Liscard, which may supersede the policies of the UDP in this location, such that other uses may be appropriate.
- 13.2 Bebington Town Hall and Bebington Town Hall Annexe are similarly within a Primarily Commercial Area in the UDP, adjacent to an existing shopping

centre. There is no current Neighbourhood Development Plan for the Bebington Municipal complex and therefore no proposed alternative use for the sites through the Development Plan system. However, given the area, there may be potential interest for housing redevelopment, as has already occurred at the south of the Commercial Area adjacent to Church Road. Whilst any planning application would be considered against the Development Plan, other material considerations might apply. At present these material considerations include the Council's Interim Planning Policy for New Housing Development, which restricts new housing development outside the Council's priority areas and limits the potential of sites in Bebington for new housing development.

- 13.3 The Old Court House in Liscard and Willow Tree Resource Centre in Moreton are both within the Primarily Residential Area, subject to Policy HS4 (addressing residential redevelopment) and Policy HS15 (addressing small-scale non-residential uses). In addition, Willow Tree is subject to the restrictions imposed by the Council's Interim Planning Policy for New Housing Development.
- 13.4 Of the review sites status in the UDP, Hamilton Building is within a Primarily Residential Area adjacent to Birkenhead Town Centre (subject to Policy HS4 and Policy HS15). The Finance Municipal Building is within a Primarily Commercial Area and within 100 metres of the Hamilton Square Conservation Area.
- 13.5 The North and South Annexes at Wallasey Town Hall are within the Primarily Residential Area but demolition and redevelopment should be considered against the need to preserve those elements of the setting of the Grade II listed building assets of Wallasey Town Hall and The Brighton Public House, that make a positive contribution to, or better reveal the significance of the asset. Any proposals will be considered against UDP Policy CH1 (Development Affecting Listed Buildings and Structures).
- 13.6 Pennant House is within the Primarily Residential Area in the Council's UDP, as is the built area of the Acre Lane complex. However, both of these sites are subject to the Council's Interim Planning Policy for New Housing Development, which restricts new housing development. Land to the south of Acre Lane is also identified as Urban Greenspace, subject to Policy GR1 (The Protection of Urban Greenspace) and national policy on the protection of sports fields from development. Land and buildings surrounding Pennant House are currently being assessed for their wider heritage value.
- 13.7 The heritage value of Birkenhead Town Hall (which is listed Grade II\* but set within the context of Hamilton Square's Grade I listed terraces) is reflected in the assessment of options in Appendix D to this report. Any proposals for works to Birkenhead Town Hall will be considered against UDP Policy CH1 and national policy for heritage assets.

**REPORT AUTHOR:** Ian Brand

Head of Asset Management Telephone: (0151-666-3880) Email: ianbrand@wirral.gov.uk

# **APPENDICES**

Appendix A - Buildings in Scope

Appendix B - Buildings to be retained

Appendix C - Buildings where vacation and demolition is to be confirmed

Appendix D - Birkenhead Town Hall

Appendix E - Buildings where a decision is required on future retention or disposal

# **REFERENCE MATERIAL**

None

# **SUBJECT HISTORY (last 3 years)**

Council Meeting	Date
Cabinet – Office Accommodation	24 June 2010
Cabinet – The Former Birkenhead Town Hall	22 July 2010
Cabinet – Office Rationalisation	25 November 2010
Council Excellence Overview & Scrutiny Committee -	31 January 2011
Office Rationalisation and Agile Working	
Cabinet – Capital Programme & Capital Funding	21 February 2011
Council Excellence Overview & Scrutiny Committee -	12 July 2011
Office Accommodation Update Report	
Council Excellence Overview & Scrutiny Committee -	15 September 2011
Office Rationalisation - Update Report	
Council Excellence Overview & Scrutiny Committee -	17 November 2011
Office Rationalisation and Agile Working	